



RNA-seq bioinfo analysis

Bilille training 15-16 Mars 2022 Camille Marchet - Pierre Pericard

General Introduction



This course main goals:

• An overview of RNA-seq data analysis

• Identify the (key issues/points) (critical steps/parameters)

Warning !

This is NOT a course to train you as a bioinformatician, and this course will NOT allow you to design an analysis pipeline set-up for your specific needs

This course WILL give you the basis information to understand and run a generic RNA-seq analysis, its key steps and problematics, and how to interact with bioinformaticians/bioanalysts that can analyze your RNA-seq datasets





Sequencing: overview



From: http://www2.fml.tuebingen.mpg.de/raetsch/members/research/transcriptomics.html

How to make cDNA libraries

- Extract RNA, convert to cDNA
- pass to next gen sequencer
- millions to billions of reads

make cDNA?

- Prime mRNA with random hexamers R6
- reverse transcriptase => cDNA first strand synthesis
- then second strand

=> illumina cDNA library

How to sequence (1)

- polyA+
- Ribo-Zero (human, mouse, plants, bacteria, ...)

(ARN = 90% of ARNr, 1-2% of ARNm)

- in prokaryotes: no polyA (= no capture), no splicing (= less complex)

- paired-end
- replicates

How to sequence (2)





depth: 3X





-reads around 150-200 bp

-the number of **detected transcripts increases with the sequencing depth**

-the **expression** measure is **more precise with more depth**

-5 millions reads can be enough to detect genes mildly-highly expressed in human

-100 millions must be preferred to detect lowly expressed genes (see for instance **saturation curves** in "Differential expression in RNA-seq: a matter of depth." *Genome Res.* 2011)

- these numbers depends on the species/tissues (complex splicing...)

-keep replicates in mind

There are plenty of protocols...

Méthode	Description	Référence
mRNA-seq	Identification les ARN messagers.	[Mortazavi et al., 2008]
miRNA-seq	Identification les micro ARN.	[Ruby et al., 2006]
GRO-Seq (Global Run-On Sequencing),	Sélection et séquençage uniquement le	[Core et al., 2008]
PRO-Seq (Precision Run-On Sequencing) et NET-Seq (Native elegation transcript	ARNs en cours de transcription par l'ARN polymérase II.	[Kwak et al., 2013]
sequencing)	[Cł	urchman and Weissman, 201
Ribo-Seq (Ribosome profile sequencing)	Identification les ARNs messagers en cours de traduction.	[Ingolia et al., 2009]
et TRAP-Seq (Targeted purification of polysomal mRNA sequencing)		[Reynoso et al., 2015]
RIP-Seq (RNA immunoprecipition sequencing),		[Cloonan et al., 2008]
CLIP-Seq (Cross-linking and immunoprecipitation sequencing),	Détermination des régions d'ARN liée une protéine d'intérêt.	^{S à} [Chi et al., 2009]
PAR-CLIP (Photoactivatable- ribonucleoside-enhanced cross-linking and immunoprecipitation) et.		[Hafner et al., 2010]
iCLIP (individual-nucleotide resolution CLIP)		[Huppertz et al., 2014]
ChIRP-Seq (Chromatine isolation by RNA purification)	Identification des régions du génome qui interagissent avec l'ARN.	[Chu et al., 2011]
PARE-Seq (Parallel analysis RNA ends sequencing)	Etude des sites de clivage des micro-ARNs ainsi que de la dégradation des ARNs.	[German et al., 2009]

from Clara Benoit Pilven's PhD thesis

Resources: genomes, transcriptomes, annotations



Specific databases











Saccharomyces GENOME DATABASE





From Rachel Legendre (Institut Pasteur)

FASTA/Q formats

FASTA format:

>61DFRAAXX100204:1:100:10494:3070/1 AAACAACAGGGCACATTGTCACTCTT GTATTTGAAAAACACTTTCCGGCCAT

FASTQ format:

FASTA/Q formats



$$Q_{
m sanger} = -10 \, \log_{10} p$$

Quality	Error rate
10	10%
20	1%
30	0.1%
40	0.01%

What people do with their RNA-seq



From J. Audoux's PhD thesis



Outcomes of RNA-seq studies

- gene annotation
- protein/function prediction
- gene/splicing quantification
- isoform discovery/fusion transcripts/lncRNA...
- variant calling
- methylations
- RNA structures

Cleaning - Preprocessing

Known biases in RNA-seq

?

Known biases in RNA-seq

Biological sample:

- presence of pre-mRNA
- 3' bias over-represented (RNA degradation)
- contaminations

Library preparation:

- DNAse fail
- pcr bias
- variable insert size (smaller than sequencing length)
- reads with no inserts

Sequencing:

• quality drops at the end of reads

Quality Control (QC)

Quality Control (QC) is important to:

- Check if your sample sequencing went well
- Know when you need to sequence again (sequencing platform QC fail)
- Identify potential problems that can be fixed, or not
- Follow the impact of preprocessing steps

⇒ FastQC (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/)

+ MultiQC (https://multiqc.info/) when comparing multiple datasets

Practical: Quality Control (QC)

Open Galaxy

F Galaxy PROJECT

GTN Practical: Reference-based RNA-seq data analysis

Loss of base call accuracy with increasing sequencing cycles Source: https://sequencing.qcfail.com



Position specific failures of flowcells



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 11 13 15 17 19 21 23 25 27 29 31 33 35 37 39 41 43 45 47 49 5: Position in read (bp)

Quality per tile



2213 2211 2209 2207 2113 2111 1213 1211 1205 1203 1201 1105 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 11 13 15 17 19 21 23 25 27 29 31 33 35 37 39 41 43 45 47 49 51

Quality per tile

Position in read (op)



Source: https://sequencing.qcfail.com

Positional sequence bias in random primed libraries

Source: https://sequencing.qcfail.com



Contamination with adapter dimers

Source: https://sequencing.qcfail.com



Libraries contain technical duplication

Source: https://sequencing.qcfail.com



Percent of seqs remaining if deduplicated 2.58%

GC content / Contamination ?



GC content / Contamination ?



Cleaning - Preprocessing

Cleaning has to be done in the reverse order that errors were generated.

- 1. Sequencing errors: quality trimming and filtering, Ns removal
- 2. Library preparation: adapters removal
- 3. Sample contamination: rRNA, mito, other contaminants

Note 1: step 1 (quality trimming) is not considered critical anymore and could even hinder downstream tools/algorithms.

Note 2: If the reads are going to be aligned against a reference genome, this whole process can be skipped or applied very lightly

Cleaning - Preprocessing



To map or not to map?

With reference RNA-seq

W/ reference RNA-seq. For what purpose ?

Mainly:

- Differential expression
 - between genes
 - between transcripts/isoformes

- Transcriptome assembly
 - variant calling
 - isoforme discovery

What people do with their RNA-seq



From J. Audoux's PhD thesis

RNA-seq w/ ref


The champion: Tuxedo Suite, "Classic" version



37

The champion: Tuxedo Suite, "Classic" version



Nat Protoc. 2012;7(3):562-578. doi:10.1038/nprot.2012.016

The champion: Tuxedo Suite, New version



Counting gene expression from alignments



В

А

Approach to handle multireads	Read distribution representation	Counts
Ignore		G1: 10 reads G2: 6 reads
Count once per alignment		G1: 18 reads G2: 14 reads
Split them equally		G1: 14 reads G2: 10 reads
Rescue based on uniquely mapped reads		G1: 15 reads G2: 9 reads
Expectation- maximization		G1: 15 reads G2: 9 reads
Read coverage based methods		G1: 15 reads G2: 9 reads
Cluster methods		G1:10 reads G2:6 reads Cluster G1/G2: 8 reads

Deschamps-Francoeur, et al. 2020. doi:10.1016/j.csbj.2020.06.014

Counting gene expression from alignments

Table 1

Computational strategies and methods that handle multi-mapped reads.

Tool	Quantification level	Input	Strandedness can be specified	Count type	Strategy	Paired end	Confidence level	Focus
HTSeq-count	Gene	BAM	Y	Counts	Ignore	Y	N	Long RNA
STAR geneCounts	Gene	Fastq	Y	Counts	Ignore	Y	N	Long RNA
Cufflinks	Transcript	BAM	Y	RPKM	Split equally, Rescue	Y	N	Long RNA
featureCounts	Gene	BAM	Y	Counts	Ignore, count all, split equally	Y	Ν	Long RNA
CoCo	Gene	BAM	Y	Counts, CPM, TPM	Rescue	Y	Ν	Small RNA Long RNA
ERANGE	Transcript	BAM	N	RPKM	Rescue	Y	N	Long RNA
EMASE	Transcript	BAM	N	Counts, TPM	EM	Y	N	Long RNA
IsoEM2	Both	SAM	Y	FPKM, TPM	EM	Y	Confidence intervals	Long RNA
Kallisto	Transcript	Fastq	Y	TPM	EM	Y	Bootstrap values	Long RNA
RSEM	Both	Fastq, BAM	Y	Counts, TPM, FPKM	EM	Y	95% credibility intervals	Long RNA
Salmon	Transcript	Fastq	Y	Counts, TPM	EM	Y	Bootstrap values	Long RNA
MMR	N/A	BAM	Y	N/A	Read coverage	Y	N/A	Long RNA
MuMRescueLite	Genomic loci	Custom format	N	Counts	Read coverage	N	Ν	Short sequence tags
Rcount	Gene	BAM	Y	Counts	Read coverage	N	N	Long RNA
ShortStack	Gene	Fastq, BAM	N	Counts, RPM	Read coverage	N	Ν	Small RNA
mmquant	Gene	BAM	Y	Counts	Gene Clustering	Y	Ν	Small RNA Long RNA
SeqCluster	Gene	BAM	N	Counts	Gene clustering	N	N	Small RNA
Fuzzy method	Gene	Custom format	N	Fuzzy counts	Fuzzy sets	N	Fuzzy counts	Small RNA Long RNA
geneQC	Gene	SAM	Y	NA	ML	Y	Mapping uncertainty level	Small RNA Long RNA

RNA-seq w/ ref



Practical: Mapping and Quantification

Open Galaxy

F Galaxy PROJECT

GTN Practical: Reference-based RNA-seq data analysis

Recommended pipeline (as of Sept 2021)

• Transcriptome assembly: HISAT2 + StringTie (+ Ballgown ?)

• Transcript/Gene quantification with mapping: STAR + Salmon

• Mapping-less transcript quantification: Kallisto or Salmon

De novo RNA-seq

De novo approaches

- De novo methods are approaches that are free from a reference for producing results
- Reference-based approaches have limitations as results depends on the quality of the reference
- Sometimes we don't even have a reference
- De novo and reference-based are **complementary**

Why do we need *de novo* approaches

Aren't references good enough?

- Disease-associated transcripts
- Genetic polymorphism in transcripts
- *de novo* methods are helping creating tomorrow's references

Genome Biology

Home About Articles Submission Guidelines

Abstract

Opinion Open Access

Reference transcriptomes: the making of

Enter direct RNA-seq assembly

Shall we ever reach a complete reference transcriptome?

Ignore non-reference transcripts at your own risks

Bridging the gap between reference and real transcriptomes

Antonin Morillon and Daniel Gautheret 🖾 💿

Genome Biology 2019 20:112 <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1710-7</u> © The Author(s). 2019 Published: 3 June 2019

The more novel and specific is your need, the more likely you need new bioinformatics (and *de novo*)

What can be done with *de novo* methods

- transcript assembly + quantification
- genetic polymorphism detection
- alternative transcript detection + quantification

The *de novo* assembly challenge



The *de novo* assembly challenge



The *de novo* assembly challenge



Assembly recap

Assembly is like taking a step after another in a maze

One step is a group of nucleotides



Assembly recap

Until you have a choice to make :



why does this happen? check the reads: CTTAGCG TTAAATC

and in the initial molecules, an exon is shared:



Greedy algorithms

GACCTTA GACCTTA AATC

Greedy algorithms

local choices can lead to bad decisions



All vs all overlaps algorithms

Have a global view of the possibilities in the "maze"

Ideal but... quadratic



With de Bruijn graphs we walk in the maze nucleotide by nucleotide:



Your next step must correspond to the nucleotide that comes after in the original transcript

A G A

Result: concatenation of the nucleotides (AGA...)



Some dead ends and other bifurcations can be seen



Store the "maze" in a graph structure (de Bruijn graph)

- helps with local choices
- **cost efficient (RAM & runtime)**

de Bruijn graph in practice: k-mers

k-mers: why don't we use reads

k-mers (k=5)
$$\begin{array}{c} A \ T \ C \ G \ C \ C \ A \ G \ A \\ A \ T \ C \ G \ C \ C \ A \ G \ A \\ A \ T \ C \ G \ C \ C \ A \ G \ A \end{array}$$

result: ATCGCCA, CCAGA

de Bruijn graph in practice: k-mers



result: ATCGCCAGA

de Bruijn graph in practice: k-mers

k-mers help bridging the assembly

they are key elements to work with the dBG

in practice implementations allow using several k sizes

tradeoff larger k: more conservative /smaller k: more gaps filled in the graph

Path in the De Bruijn graph

De Bruijn graph



assembly : a set of gap-less sequences extracted from paths covering the graph (after some modifications to the graph...)

Vocabulary: bubbles/bulges

AGATGCCTTAT

 $AGATG \rightarrow GATGC \rightarrow ATGCC \rightarrow TGCCT \rightarrow GCCTT \rightarrow CTTAT$



Vocabulary: tips/dead ends



An assembly generally is

- smaller than the reference,
- fragmented
- missing reads create gaps







Contrasting genome and transcriptome assemblies

genome

-uniform coverage
-single contig per locus
-double stranded
-theory: one massive graph per chromosome
-practice: repeats aggregate, contigs
smaller than chromosomes

transcriptome

-exponentially distributed coverage-multiple contigs per locus-strand specific

- theory: thousands of small disjoint graphs, one per gene
- -practice: gene families, ALU & TE, low covered

Contrasting genome and transcriptome assemblies

Despite these differences, DNA-seq assembly methods apply:

- Construct a de Bruijn graph (same as DNA)
- Output contigs (same as DNA)
- Allow to re-use the same contig in many different transcripts (new part)

Real instance graphs





graph from shallow covered Drosophila dataset

zoomed-in bubbles (+ tips)

2

gene family
There is no single solution for assembly...

Conclusions of the GAGE benchmark : in terms of assembly quality, there is no single best assembler. Applies to RNA-seq.

Main tools:

-TransAbyss, Robertson et al. Nat. Met 2010 https://github.com/bcgsc/transabyss

-Bridger, Chang et al. Genome Biol. 2015 https://github.com/fmaguire/Bridger_Assembler

-**SOAPdenovo-Trans**, Xie et al. *Bioinformatics* 2014 <u>https://github.com/aquaskyline/SOAPdenovo2</u>

-**Trinity**, Grabherr et al. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2011 <u>https://github.com/trinityrnaseq/trinityrnaseq/wiki</u>

- rnaSPAdes, Bushmanov et al. GigaScience 2019 http://cab.spbu.ru/software/spades/

The main building blocks in theory

- 1. (optional) correct the reads (for instance BayesHammer in rnaSPAdes)
- 2. build a graph from the reads (remove k-mers seen once)
- 3. remove likely sequencing errors (tips)
- 4. remove known patterns (bubbles)
- 5. return simple paths (i.e. contigs), **allow nodes to be used several times**

Warning: what's in the paper is different than what's in the implementation...

2. Assembly in SPAdes: An Outline

Go to: 🕑

Below we outline the four stages of SPAdes, which deal with issues that are particularly troublesome in SCS: sequencing errors; non-uniform coverage; insert size variation; and chimeric reads and bireads:

(1) Stage 1 (assembly graph construction) is addressed by every NGS assembler and is often referred to as de Bruijn graph *simplification* (e.g., *bulge/bubble* removal in EULER/Velvet). We propose a new approach to assembly graph construction that uses the *multisized de Bruijn graph*, implements new bulge/tip removal algorithms, detects and removes chimeric reads, aggregates biread information into *distance histograms*, and allows one to backtrack the performed graph operations.

(2) Stage 2 (*k*-bimer adjustment) derives accurate distance estimates between *k*-mers in the genome (edges in the assembly graph) using joint analysis of distance histograms and paths in the assembly graph.

Trinity assembler



- Inchworm de Bruijn graph construction, part 1
- Chrysalis de Bruijn graph construction, part 2
- Butterfly Graph traversal using reads, isoforms enumeration

Trinity: detail

1-Inchworm



Trinity: detail

2-Chrysalis

find k-1 overlaps between the contigs of the 1st step: build small De Bruijn graphs

use read mapping information to separate clusters

Trinity: detail



output read-coherent isoforms





>TRINITY_DN1000_c115_g5_i1 len=247 path=[31015:0-148 23018:149-246]

AATCTTTTTGGTATTGGCAGTACTGTGCTCTGGGTAGTGATTAGGGCAAAAGAAGAAGACAC

AGACAGCCCTTCTCAATCCTCATCCCTTCCCTGAACAGACATGTCTTCTGCAAGCTTCTC

CAAGTCAGTTGTTCACAGGAACATCATCAGAATAAATTTGAAATTATGATTAGTATCTGA

TAAAGCA

- -Trinity read cluster 'TRINITY_DN1000_c115'
- gene 'g5'
- isoform 'i1'

-path=[31015:0-148 23018:149-246]") indicates the path traversed in the Trinity de Bruijn graph to construct that transcript

Normalization effects on assembly (example of Trinity)

From Brian

In silico normalization of reads

Haas



Normalization effects on assembly (example of Trinity)

Impact of Normalization on *De novo* Full-length Transcript Reconstruction

From Brian Haas



Largely retain full-length reconstruction, but use less RAM and assemble much faster.

 Total
 30×
 20×
 10×
 5×

 (100%)
 (31%)
 (24%)
 (15%)
 (9.3%)

 S. pombe
 RNA-seq
 Trinity assembly

 Total
 100×
 50×
 30×
 20×
 10×
 5×

 (100%)
 (35%)
 (27%)
 (23%)
 (20%)
 (16%)
 (13%)

 Mouse RNA-seq Trinity assembly

Errors made by assemblers



Smith-Unna et al. Genome Research, 2016

Assembly quality assessment

In transcriptome assemblies

- N50 is not very useful.
 - unreasonable isoform annotation for long transcripts drives higher N50
 - very sensitive reconstruction for short lowly expressed transcripts leads to lower N50

95%-assembled isoforms statistics reference-free evaluation must be preferred read remapping

Main tools:

- rnaQuast http://cab.spbu.ru/software/rnaquast/
- Transrate http://hibberdlab.com/transrate/





Smith-Unna et al. Genome Research, 2016

Visualization: Bandage

https://rrwick.github.io/Bandage/

Meta-practices

- 1- Read surveys, Twitter, blogs
- 2. Pick two assemblers
- 3. Run each assembler at least two times (different parameters)
- 4. Compare assemblies
- 5. If possible, visualize them

An assembly is not the absolute truth, it is a mostly complete, generally fragmented and mostly accurate hypothesis

Currently, Trinity, RNASpades and TransAbyss could be pointed as the most trustworthy/qualitative (for known species. Not one tool for all issues).

Practical: Trinity assembly

Assembly does not output all variants

KISSPLICE

Goal: instead of assembling full-length transcripts, KISSPLICE (Sacomoto et al. 2012) focuses on assembling ONLY the **bubbles** that contain events and **enumerate** the maximum of them

KISSPLICE: graph cleaning + local assembly

KISSPLICE's output

>bcc_89|Cycle_0|Type_1|upper_path_length_122|C1_0|C2_1|C3_2|C4_1|rank_0.55097
CCCTGATGGCCTCAGAGGAGGAGTAAATGTGGGGGACCTAGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGCTGAAAATTGTTACCAACAACTTGAAATCCCTGGAGGCCCAGGCGGACAAGTA
TTCCACCAAAGAAGAAGAAAATA >bcc_89|Cycle_0|Type_1|lower_path_length_46|C1_0|C2_0|C3_2|C4_6|rank_0.55097 CCCTGATGGCCTCAGAGGAGGAGTATTCCACCAAAGAAGATAAATA

Post-processings

What do I have?	What I can use	
I have a reference genome	KisSplice2refgenome	differential analysis: kiccDE
I have no reference genome	KisSplice2refTranscriptome	analysis. Kissue

for quantification only see de-Kupl Audoux et al. 2017

KISSPLICE case studies

Discover splicing events: Benoit Pilven et al. 2018

Farline: mapping **B** found only by Kissplice (not annotated) **C** found only by Kissplice (paralog) **D** found only by mapping (Alu repeat)

Discover SNPs in pooled RNA-seq: Lopez-Maestre et al. 2016

Practical: Kissplice

Long reads : the future present of transcriptomics

Long reads overview

Possibilities & pipelines

Limitations of short reads

- recent studies suggest that our reference transcriptomes miss isoforms
- in particular in the context of **alternative splicing**
- de novo assembly of species with unknown/hardly known transcriptomes is still a challenge
- the mandatory cDNA step in short reads protocols implies bias

Long reads technologies

- sequencing of long (>10kb) molecules is possible
 full RNAs !
- □ with a higher (~1-5% to 14%) **error rate**
- error profile is different from SR: indels in homopolymers
- some allow to sequence directly RNA (reduced bias, epitranscriptomics)

Long reads technologies

from Shanika L. Amarasinghe et al. Genome Biol. 2020

Pacific Biosciences (Pacbio)

- in the case of RNA, a fragment is read several times and a consensus is computed
- read length limited by the longevity of the polymerase
- circular consensus sequence quality = *f*(fragment length, pol longevity)
- □ 4 passes : 1% error (0.1% reached after 9 passes)
- bias for indels in homopolymers

Pacific Biosciences (Pacbio)

- the protocol is better suited for studying isoform identification only (not quantification)
 - initial overrepresentation of shorter molecules lead to size selection which introduces a bias
 - mitigation solutions still in progress

Oxford Nanopore technologies (ONT)

- no limit to read length
- Let the fragment is read only once in the pore
- read quality depends on the speed of the fragment through the pore
 - **quality decreases in the late stages** of sequencing
- error rate >5%
- bias for indels in homopolymers

Oxford Nanopore technologies (ONT)

- 1D sequencing protocol : single pass of strands
 1D² protocol: sequence the complementary strand immediately after the forward strand and compute a consensus
- accuracy over homopolymers is in progress (from R10 chemistry)

Oxford Nanopore technologies (ONT)'s RNA direct

Methods based on reverse transcription:

- Template switching and artifactual splicing
- Loss of strandedness information
- Loss of base modifications
- Propagation of error due to PCR

Direct RNA

- no bias due to PCR
- possible to study some RNA modifications
- as of today not adequate for quantification (too much material is required)

Direct cDNA Sequencing Kit

Preparation time	275 mins
Input requirement	100 ng RNA (poly-A*)
RT required	Yes
PCR required	No
Read length	Enriched for full-length cDNA
Typical throughput	
Typical number of reads	5-10 million
Multiplexing options	Yes
and and	
母母周周期 。	111 III

material from Oxford Nanopore

What has been studied with long reads so far

Near mature:

- **quantification** of already **known genes** and isoforms
- **quantification** of of **novel isoforms** from known genes ex
- detection and characterization of the different isoforms and genes exon structure without quantification (PacBio's "Iso-Seq" method)

What has been studied with long reads so far

Exploratory:

- RNA of paralogous genes (Dougherty et al., 2018, Chen et al., 2017)
- □ fusion transcripts (Nattestad et al., 2018).
- allele-specific expression (Tilgner et al., 2014), avelier et al., 2015).
Informatics pipeline

Spirit of most analysis pipelines



adapted from Gordon et al. 2015

reads comparison all vs all

clusters: isoform detection compute consensus

report non redundant polished transcript sequences

alignment to genome (Minimap2, GraphMap2, GMAP...)

report genes/isoforms quantify

Isoform detection: PacBio's Iso-Seq3 + ToFU/Cupcake

https://github.com/ylipacbio/IsoSeq3/

- will tend to merge alternative transcripts (heavily depends on the reference quality)
- computationally expensive
- tailored to Pacbio reads only
- scripts for exon-junction description and quantification

Alternative isoforms detection pipelines

Specialized for Pacbio

- □ SQANTI (reference genome, gff)
- □ ToFu (reference genome & limited *de novo*)
- □ TAPIS (reference genome)
- IsoCon (*de novo* correction and detection of different transcripts at the base level, targeted data)

Specialized for Nanopore

FLAIR (reference genome)

Technology agnostic

- □ TALON (input = alignments to ref)
- MANDALORION
- □ TrackCluster (*de novo*)

Pipelines focused on quantification

- developed by Nanopore (based on alignment + Salmon) <u>https://github.com/nanoporetech/pipeline-transcriptome-de</u>
- LIQA (truncated reads treated using an EM algorithm)

Application example



<u>Front Genet.</u> 2021; 12: 683408. Published online 2021 Jul 15. doi: <u>10.3389/fgene.2021.683408</u> PMCID: PMC8321248 PMID: 34335690

PacBio Iso-Seq Improves the Rainbow Trout Genome Annotation and Identifies Alternative Splicing Associated With Economically Important Phenotypes

Ali Ali,¹ Gary H. Thorgaard,² and Mohamed Salem^{1,*}

Long-read cDNA sequencing identifies functional pseudogenes in the human transcriptome

<u>Robin-Lee Troskie</u>, <u>Yohaann Jafrani</u>, <u>Tim R. Mercer</u>, <u>Adam D. Ewing</u> ⊠, <u>Geoffrey J. Faulkner</u> ⊠ & <u>Seth W.</u> <u>Cheetham</u> ⊠

Genome Biology 22, Article number: 146 (2021) Cite this article

2795 Accesses | 2 Citations | 31 Altmetric | Metrics

Long reads miscellaneous

- specific spliced alignment tools start to emerge (uLTRA, Sahlin et al. 2021)
- cleaning for spliced sites (with ref) TranscriptClean , FLAIR
- reference-free correction might become a standard in the years to come (isONcorrect, Sahlin et al. 2021) (/!\ generally, do not use reference free correction methods tailored for genomic long reads)
- de novo assembly using short+long reads+ref: StringTie2
- a website that lists long reads tools: https://long-read-tools.org/table.html

Next challenges with long reads

- **u** guarantee full-length RNA or cDNA libraries
- sequence all different RNAs (not only poly-A)
- allele-specific transcripts
- acquire knowledge about 3' and 5' ends, polyA tails (homopolymers)
- new steps toward full de novo pipelines

What was not viewed during this session

-bacterial RNA

-genome-guided assembly

-metatranscriptomics

-single cell RNA

- ...

-tools specialized for ncRNAs, smallRNAs

-tools specialized for fusion transcripts

-transcript annotation (https://busco.ezlab.org/ for instance)

-up next: differential study (statistics for RNA-seq)